SCIENCEDOMAIN *international*
www.sciencedomain.org

SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1:

Journal Name:	British Journal of Economics, Management & Trade
Manuscript Number:	**MS: 2013_BJEMT_3387**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Financial Intermediation and Economic Growth in Sudan: An Empirical investigation, 1970-2011.**
Type of article:	**Research paper**

General guideline for Peer Review process is available in this link:
(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

- This form has total 7 parts. Kindly note that you should use all the parts of this review form.

SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 2: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	1. Rewrite the ABSTRACT and for consistency, maintain accurate verb usage. Go to line 71- and see how it is stated. Take some parts there to correct the abstract. 2. Line 929. The explanation for the negative relationship between money supply and real per capita GDP is not clear. If it is to "finance deficit in Sudan," why would that lead to negative real per capita GDP? Deficit spending spurs growth in GDP and unless the population is excessive, it will increase real per capita GDP (rpcgdp). Another reason why deficit spending will not positively impact rpcgdp is if the deficit is used to pay off foreign debt obligation and not spent on goods and services in Sudan. Think through it and find a more appropriate reason for the deviation. 3. Visit No. 1048 and incorporate the same change you'll decide to make to line 929.	I do the required
Minor REVISION comments	Go through the paper and do thorough editing. For example, Line 45, have is has, Line 46, economies is economy, etc.	
Optional/General comments		